

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,699	04/21/2004	Karen M. Cheves	1001.1705101	5388
20075 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER	
			GILBERT, ANDREW M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) CHEVES ET AL

Interview Summary	10/020,099	CHEVES ET AL.					
morrion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) ANDREW M. GILBERT.	(3)						
(2) Mike McGrath.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 2/21/2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4 and 15</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Virgil et al (5320634); Parodi (5250070); Lary (6306151).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the contents of the Advisory Action mailed on 1/17/2008 including suggest claim amendments to overcome the prior and forecord and further define the Applicant's invention. The Applicant will use the suggested claim amendments as audiance and file a Request for Continued Examination. The Examiner will then conduct a new search and consideration. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Examiner's signature, if requi	red					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080221